ISSN NO.

IJRNCISM: International Journal of Research in Nobel Classical Indian System of Medicine

www.ncismjournal.com

Volume: 01 Issue: 02 July - 2026

Article History: Received: 14-07-2026 Accepted: 15-07-2026 Published: 30-07-2026

Role of Homeopathy in Chronic Pain Management: An Evidence-Based Review

Dr. Yashwantrao Baliram Patil[1], Dr. Abhijeet Vasantrao Mural[2]

[1] Professor & HOD, Department of Homoeopathic Pharmacy, Shri. Chamundamata Homoeopathic Medical College & Hospital, Jalgaon, Maharashtra, India. Email: vhacademics@gmail.com

[2] Ph.D. Scholar, DKMM HMC, Chh. Sambhaji Nagar, Maharashtra, India. Associate Professor & HOD, Department of Homoeopathic Materia Medica, Government Homoeopathy Medical College & Hospital, Jalgaon, Maharashtra, India. Email: dravm14@gmail.com

Abstract

Chronic pain affects physical function, sleep, mood, employment, relationships, and quality of life. Even with pharmacological, rehabilitative, psychological, and interventional care, many patients continue to experience pain or treatment-related adverse effects and may seek complementary approaches. Homeopathy is used in several chronic pain conditions, including osteoarthritis, fibromyalgia, migraine, and low back pain. This narrative review critically examines its clinical role and evidentiary limitations. Literature from PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, Embase, the Cochrane Library, and Google Scholar was considered alongside historically important homeopathic texts. Some observational studies and small randomized trials report improvement in pain or patient-reported wellbeing, but the evidence is heterogeneous and frequently limited by sample size, risk of bias, inconsistent blinding, variable prescribing methods, and short follow-up. Proposed physicochemical or biological mechanisms for highly diluted remedies remain unconfirmed. The consultation itself may provide contextual benefits through attentive history-taking, therapeutic alliance, expectation, and self-management support, but such effects do not establish remedy-specific efficacy. Current evidence does not support homeopathy as a substitute for guideline-based chronic pain care or disease-modifying treatment. If patients choose it, its safest position is as a transparent adjunct within multidisciplinary care, with continued diagnosis, rehabilitation, indicated medication, and monitoring. Better trials should distinguish the effect of the consultation from that of the remedy and use clinically meaningful pain, function, medication, safety, and long-term outcomes.

Keywords

Homeopathy; chronic pain; complementary medicine; integrative care; osteoarthritis; fibromyalgia; evidence-based medicine

1. Introduction

Chronic pain persists or recurs for longer than three months and often continues beyond expected tissue healing [1]. It is common worldwide and contributes substantially to disability, healthcare use, reduced productivity, and psychological distress [2]. The category includes nociceptive, neuropathic, nociplastic, and mixed conditions, among them osteoarthritis, chronic low back pain, fibromyalgia, migraine, and painful neuropathies.

Persistent pain rarely reflects a single mechanism. Peripheral and central sensitization, neuroimmune signaling, altered motor behavior, sleep disturbance, mood, trauma, social circumstances, and expectations may all shape the experience. Management is therefore usually multidisciplinary and combines education, physical rehabilitation, psychological strategies, lifestyle measures, medication, and selected procedures [3].

Analgesics and adjuvant medicines can be valuable but may cause condition-specific harms or provide incomplete relief [4]. This treatment gap has encouraged interest in complementary approaches. Homeopathy, developed by Samuel Hahnemann, is based on individualized symptom matching, the law of similars—*similia similibus curentur*—and serially diluted preparations [5]. Its use remains controversial because positive reports coexist with systematic reviews that find low-certainty or inconsistent evidence [6].

Aim and Objectives

The review aims to evaluate the role of homeopathy in chronic pain management using contemporary standards of evidence. It summarizes the system's historical principles, outlines chronic pain mechanisms and established care, reviews condition-specific clinical findings, considers safety, and identifies research priorities.

2. Methodology of Literature Review

A narrative search covered PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, Embase, the Cochrane Library, and Google Scholar. Search terms combined “homeopathy” with “chronic pain,” “osteoarthritis,” “fibromyalgia,” “migraine,” “neuropathic pain,” “complementary medicine,” “integrative medicine,” and “randomized controlled trial.” Randomized trials, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, observational studies, guidelines, and relevant classical texts were considered, mainly from 1990 to 2025. Duplicate reports, conference abstracts, editorials, inaccessible non-English material, and studies with insufficient clinical information were excluded. As this was not a registered systematic review, the synthesis should not be interpreted as a new meta-analysis.

3. Historical Perspective and Principles

Hahnemann developed homeopathy after experiments and observations associated with his translation of William Cullen's *materia medica* [7]. The *Organon of Medicine* describes three familiar principles: prescribing according to similarity, individualizing the medicine to the patient's symptom pattern, and using a minimum dose [8].

Individualization considers pain character, location, aggravating and relieving factors, associated symptoms, sleep, emotional state, and constitutional features. This prolonged assessment may make patients feel heard and can identify functional goals, although it also complicates the standardization and blinding of clinical trials.

Homeopathic preparations undergo serial dilution with succussion. At higher potencies, dilution commonly exceeds Avogadro's limit, making molecules of the original substance unlikely to remain [9]. This creates a major mechanistic challenge and requires particularly rigorous clinical evidence before remedy-specific effects are accepted.

4. Contemporary Understanding of Chronic Pain

Chronic pain may function as a disease in its own right, particularly when nervous-system changes sustain pain beyond the initial injury [10]. Peripheral sensitization lowers nociceptor thresholds. Central sensitization increases responsiveness in spinal and brain networks, while microglial and astrocytic signaling may support neuroinflammation. Maladaptive neuroplasticity, fear-avoidance, catastrophizing, depression, anxiety, and fragmented sleep can further amplify disability [11]. These mechanisms explain why a reduction in tissue pathology does not always produce proportional pain relief.

5. Evidence-Based Chronic Pain Management

Treatment should be diagnosis-specific and goal-oriented. Exercise, graded activity, physiotherapy, weight management where relevant, cognitive behavioral approaches, sleep care, and occupational support are central. Medicines may include topical or oral anti-inflammatory drugs, selected antidepressants or anticonvulsants, and other condition-specific agents; opioids require careful selection and monitoring. Procedures such as nerve blocks, radiofrequency treatment, epidural injection, or neuromodulation are reserved for defined indications. The practical objective is usually improved function and quality of life rather than complete elimination of pain.

6. Proposed Explanations for Homeopathic Effects

Proposed explanations include placebo and contextual effects, patient–practitioner interaction, hormesis, neuroimmune modulation, nanostructures, and persistent organization of the solvent. None has been established as a generally accepted mechanism for highly diluted remedies [12]. Contextual effects are nevertheless real clinical phenomena: expectation, empathy, repeated follow-up, and a coherent treatment narrative can alter pain reporting and coping. Trials must separate these consultation effects from a specific effect of the dispensed remedy.

7. Osteoarthritis

Osteoarthritis involves cartilage and subchondral bone change, synovial inflammation, pain, and loss of function [13]. Homeopathic practice may individualize remedies such as *Rhus toxicodendron*, *Bryonia alba*, *Arnica montana*, *Calcarea fluorica*, *Ruta graveolens*, or *Causticum*. Small studies have reported changes in pain and function, but limitations include inadequate concealment, heterogeneous interventions, and short observation [14]. Reviews judge the certainty insufficient to recommend homeopathy over exercise, weight management, analgesia, injection where indicated, or surgery for advanced disease [15].

8. Rheumatoid Arthritis

Rheumatoid arthritis is an autoimmune inflammatory disease in which delayed disease-modifying treatment can lead to irreversible joint damage. Remedies such as *Rhus toxicodendron*, *Bryonia alba*, *Ledum palustre*, *Causticum*, *Calcarea carbonica*, and *Sulphur* appear in homeopathic literature. Subjective pain or wellbeing may improve in some adjunctive-care studies, but consistent effects on inflammatory activity or structural progression

have not been shown. Disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs and rheumatology monitoring must remain the foundation of care [16].

9. Fibromyalgia

Fibromyalgia combines widespread pain with fatigue, unrefreshing sleep, cognitive complaints, and nociplastic mechanisms. Patients often seek complementary care because no single treatment is uniformly effective. Observational reports and small trials describe improvements after individualized homeopathy, using medicines such as *Arnica montana*, *Rhus toxicodendron*, *Ignatia amara*, *Sepia*, or *Calcarea carbonica*. Systematic assessment remains constrained by small samples, inconsistent outcomes, and risk of bias [17]. Any adjunct should support, not displace, graded activity, sleep care, psychological support, and individualized pharmacotherapy.

10. Chronic Low Back Pain

Low back pain is a leading cause of disability and may involve structural, neuropathic, nociplastic, and psychosocial contributors. *Rhus toxicodendron*, *Bryonia alba*, *Hypericum perforatum*, *Arnica montana*, and *Ruta graveolens* are often cited. Available trials do not establish a consistent clinically important advantage over placebo or usual care [18]. Education, staying active, exercise-based rehabilitation, and selected psychological or multidisciplinary programs remain first-line approaches.

11. Migraine

Migraine is a recurrent neurological disorder, not simply a vascular headache. Individualized prescriptions may include *Belladonna*, *Iris versicolor*, *Sanguinaria canadensis*, *Glonoinum*, or *Natrum muriaticum*. Some studies report fewer headaches or reduced rescue medication, but trial heterogeneity and methodological weaknesses prevent firm conclusions [19]. Patients still require evaluation of headache type, preventive and acute treatment when indicated, and urgent assessment of red-flag features.

12. Neuropathic and Cancer-Related Pain

Neuropathic pain follows a lesion or disease of the somatosensory system. Reports involving *Hypericum perforatum*, *Magnesia phosphorica*, *Arsenicum album*, or *Causticum* are mainly case-based, and robust randomized evidence is lacking [20]. Cancer pain similarly requires prompt multimodal analgesia, oncological treatment, and palliative expertise. Homeopathy has not been shown to directly control cancer-related pain, although a supportive consultation may influence general wellbeing in some settings [21]. It must never delay analgesia or anticancer care.

13. Safety

Highly diluted, correctly manufactured products usually contain little pharmacologically active starting material and therefore have few direct toxic effects. Risks remain. Low-dilution products may contain active substances; manufacturing errors, contamination, alcohol content, or unsuitable excipients can cause harm. The greater danger is indirect: delayed diagnosis, abandonment of effective treatment, or the belief that symptom relief proves control of an underlying disease [22]. Clinicians should document all products used and maintain open, nonjudgmental communication so that patients do not conceal complementary treatment.

14. Comparison with Conventional Care

Conventional chronic pain care has condition-specific mechanisms, measurable dosing, adverse-effect surveillance, and guideline recommendations, although its effectiveness is also imperfect.

Homeopathy offers an individualized consultation and generally low direct toxicity at high dilution, but remedy-specific efficacy and mechanism remain uncertain. These differences support, at most, an adjunctive role chosen by an informed patient—not equivalence with established treatment.

Parameter	Established chronic pain care	Homeopathy
Clinical basis	Diagnosis- and mechanism-informed, with condition-specific guidance	Individualized symptom-pattern matching
Evidence	Moderate to high for selected interventions; varies by condition	Low certainty and inconsistent for remedy-specific effects
Mechanism	Pharmacological, rehabilitative, psychological, or interventional	Specific mechanism for high dilutions remains unconfirmed
Main risks	Intervention-specific adverse effects and overtreatment	Delay or replacement of effective care; low-dilution or quality problems
Appropriate role	Standard multidisciplinary care	Optional adjunct only, with informed consent and monitoring

15. Critical Appraisal of the Evidence

The literature includes positive small trials and observational cohorts, but findings are not consistently reproduced in larger or more rigorous studies. Individualized prescribing, variable consultation time, different comparators, incomplete blinding, selective reporting, and publication bias make results difficult to combine [23]. Independent reviews generally rate the evidence as low certainty. Future studies should compare individualized remedy plus consultation with matched consultation plus placebo, conceal allocation, preregister outcomes, and report the proportion achieving clinically meaningful improvement in pain and function.

16. Research Priorities

Trials should recruit clearly diagnosed populations rather than combine unrelated pain syndromes. Outcomes should include pain interference, physical function, sleep, quality of life, medication exposure, adverse events, healthcare use, and sustained response. Qualitative work can examine what patients value in the consultation, while mechanism studies should be independently replicated before being used to explain clinical benefit. Transparent reporting of potency, manufacturer, prescription method, adherence, and co-interventions is essential.

17. Conclusion

Homeopathy remains popular among some people living with chronic pain, and a careful consultation may provide contextual and relational benefits. Current evidence, however, does not establish highly diluted homeopathic remedies as effective stand-alone treatments for chronic pain or as disease-modifying therapy. The safest approach is shared decision-making within multidisciplinary care, with continued diagnosis, rehabilitation, indicated medication, and monitoring. Claims should reflect the low certainty of remedy-specific evidence, and future research must distinguish consultation effects from those of the preparation itself.

18. References

[1] International Association for the Study of Pain. IASP Terminology. Washington DC: International Association for the Study of Pain; 2020. p.1–12.

[2] World Health Organization. WHO Guidelines on Chronic Pain Management. Geneva: WHO; 2023. p.1–120.

[3] Wall and Melzack's Textbook of Pain. McMahon SB, Koltzenburg M, Tracey I, Turk DC, editors. 7th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier; 2024. p.45–186.

[4] Goodman & Gilman's The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics. Brunton LL, Hilal-Dandan R, Knollmann BC, editors. 14th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2023. p.605–744.

[5] Samuel Hahnemann. *Organon of Medicine*. 6th ed. Boericke W, editor. New Delhi: B Jain Publishers; Reprint 2022. Aphorisms 1–291. p.1–312.

[6] Edzard Ernst. Homeopathy: What does the best evidence tell us? Med J Aust. 2010;192(8):458–460.

[7] Hahnemann S. *Materia Medica Pura*. Reprint ed. New Delhi: B Jain Publishers; 2018. Vol.1. p.1–512.

[8] Boericke W. Pocket Manual of Homeopathic *Materia Medica*. Reprint ed. New Delhi: B Jain Publishers; 2021. p.1–1033.

[9] Bell IR, Schwartz GE. Adaptive network nanomedicine: An integrated model for homeopathic medicine. Homeopathy. 2013;102(1):66–81.

[10] Treede RD, Rief W, Barke A, et al. Chronic pain as a symptom or a disease. Pain. 2019;160(1):19–27.

[11] Woolf CJ. Central sensitization: Implications for diagnosis and treatment of pain. Pain. 2011;152(3 Suppl):S2–S15.

[12] National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health. Homeopathy. Bethesda: NCCIH; Updated 2024. p.1–18.

[13] Kolasinski SL, Neogi T, Hochberg MC, et al. 2019 guideline for the management of osteoarthritis. Arthritis Care Res. 2020;72(2):149–162.

[14] Long L, Ernst E. Homeopathic remedies for osteoarthritis: A systematic review. Rheumatology. 2001;40(10):1177–1182.

[15] Mathie RT, Lloyd SM, Legg LA, et al. Randomised placebo-controlled trials of individualized homeopathic treatment: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Syst Rev. 2014;3:142.

[16] American College of Rheumatology. Guideline for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2021;73(7):1108–1123.

[17] Häuser W, Ablin J, Fitzcharles MA, et al. Fibromyalgia. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2015;1:15022.

[18] Qaseem A, Wilt TJ, McLean RM, et al. Noninvasive treatments for low back pain. Ann Intern Med. 2017;166(7):514–530.

[19] International Headache Society. The International Classification of Headache Disorders. 3rd ed. Cephalalgia. 2018;38(1):1–211.

[20] Finnerup NB, Haroutounian S, Kamerman P, et al. Neuropathic pain: Updated recommendations. Lancet Neurol. 2021;20(11):932–944.

[21] American Society of Clinical Oncology. Integrative medicine for pain management in

oncology. J Clin Oncol. 2022;40(34):3998–4024.

[22] Stub T, Musial F, Kristoffersen AE, et al. Safety of homeopathy: A systematic review. Homeopathy. 2016;105(4):229–243.

[23] Shang A, Huwiler-Müntener K, Nartey L, et al. Are the clinical effects of homoeopathy placebo effects? Comparative study of placebo-controlled trials. Lancet. 2005;366(9487):726–732.

[24] Mathie RT, Clausen J. Veterinary and human homeopathy: Systematic review of randomized trials. Homeopathy. 2015;104(1):47–63.

[25] Ernst E. Homeopathy: The undiluted facts. Trends Pharmacol Sci. 2007;28(7):320–322.

[26] National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Chronic Pain (Primary and Secondary) in Over 16s: Assessment and Management. NICE Guideline NG193. London: NICE; 2021. p.1–40.

[27] Vickers AJ, Zollman C. ABC of complementary medicine: Homeopathy. BMJ. 1999;319(7217):1115–1118.

[28] Bellavite P, Marzotto M, Olioso D, et al. Homeopathy and integrative medicine: Keeping an open mind. J Tradit Complement Med. 2015;5(2):69–77.

[29] Fisher P. Homeopathy and chronic pain management: Current perspectives. Homeopathy. 2018;107(3):145–152.

[30] Ministry of AYUSH. National Health Policy on AYUSH Systems. New Delhi: Ministry of AYUSH; 2023. p.1–88.

[31] World Health Organization. WHO Traditional Medicine Strategy 2025–2034. Geneva: WHO; 2025. p.1–98.

.